

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITER STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,135	07/11/2003	Andrew M. Rudoff	15437-0576	4431
29989	7590 01/23/200	3	EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			HARPER, LEON JONATHAN	
2055 GATEV	WAY PLACE			D. DED 18 10 10 ED
SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	SAN JOSE, CA 95110			
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,135	RUDOFF, ANDREW M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leon J. Harper	2166				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	-					
,	·					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58,59,63,64,68 and 69</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>58,59,63,64,68 and 69</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 19/24/03		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2166

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the application 10618135 filed on 7/11/2003. Claims 58,59,63,64,68, and 69 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 58,63,68 recite the limitation "said request to modify said new file" in lines 8,9 and 13 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Each claim reads "said request to modify said new file, however the only request to modify in the claim is the request to modify a current file. It appears and examiner will assume for the purposes of examination that lines 8,9, and 13 were meant to read said request to modify said current file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,135

Art Unit: 2166

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior

to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 58,59,63,64, 68,69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

anticipated by US 6553391 (hereinafter Goldring)(Art of record).

As for claim 58, Goldring discloses: receiving a request to modify said current file

(See column 5 lines 2-4); creating a new file wherein said new file is a replica of said

current file and wherein said new file is associated with a file descriptor (See column 5

lines 7-9); modifying said new tile in response to said request to modify said current file

(See column lines 12-14), wherein said new file is only accessible by an entity that sent

said request to modify said current file (See column 6 lines 60-65); and linking said file

descriptor to said file name, such that said new tile replaces said current file (See

column 3 lines 61-63 and column 6 lines 15-19).

As for claim 59 Goldring discloses: wherein, prior to linking said file descriptor to

said file name, said current file continues to be capable of being accessed (See column

6 lines 15-19 note: old version is still accessible until the reference is changed).

Claims 63 and 64 are apparatus claims corresponding to the method claims 58

and 59 respectively and are thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection

of claims 58 and 59.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,135

Art Unit: 2166

Claims 68 and 69 are computer readable method claims corresponding to the method claims 58 and 59 respectively and are thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 58 and 59.

Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon J. Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 4:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LJH Leon J. Harper January 17, 2006

MOHAMMAD ALI PRIMARY EXAMINER